

**TALMADGE MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT  
TALMADGE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION**



**BOARD MEETING MINUTES – July 6, 2020 meeting**

Call to order: 6:37 PM

Adjourn: 7:59 PM

Virtual meeting via Zoom

**Board members present:** Fred Lindahl, Kelly Waggoner, John Royal, Debbie Sanders, Martin Flores, Gustav Swanson, Norma Clark, Howard Smith, Ralph Teyssier, David Moty, Jayna McLeod

**Board members absent:** Katie McGann, Joseph Mizzi

A quorum was present.

**Agenda:** Lindahl asked if there were any objections or modifications to the agenda. Hearing none, the agenda was approved.

**Minutes:** None presented

**Treasurer's Report/Finance:** Not presented

**Community Forum/Open Discussion:** None

**City Representatives/Business:**

**a. City Parks and Recreation Representative - John Crago**

- Welcome from TMAD board; intros from board members
- Report out - Traffic circle plant material, Hoover trees in good shape; Street and Gate lighting all working with noted exceptions of 51st/Collier replacement globe (back order status), reported lights outages; Alley cleaning completed; tree trimming discussion
- Ray spoke of funding increase for 2021 from \$5000 to \$7500
- Swanson to provide address of 2 burnt out streetlights (Natalie and Norma)

**b. Council Member Representative - Sanna Loando**

- General updates; County restrictions re: COVID-19; Upcoming Annual MAD reports, Fire Preparedness

**Information Item:**

**Adams Ave & 49th St Splitter Island Project**

- Installation of 3 cameras to monitor traffic flow during/after project completion; Cameras being considered as a 'concession' to increase of directional signage - board members voiced concern(s) that Daniel Nutter, Senior Civil Engineer, will

view the slightest traffic infraction as justification to install additional (extra) signage.

- Board members question underdeveloped, under-defined guidelines of driver & pedestrian behavior:
  - How long will cameras monitor? 1 month? 1 year?
  - What exactly is being monitored / viewed as infraction(s); based on what traffic count standards?
  - General feeling that the community concerns/voice have not been heard
  - What's the pass/fail criteria?
  - Proposal of 'protest' letter be sent to city officials with expression of disappointment
- Projected start of mid July with 2-3 month completion; "immediate vicinity" households to receive door hanger project information
- Cameras are to be adjusted to exclude yards and homes (privacy issues)

### **Action Items:**

#### **Talmadge Traffic Calming**

- Drawings submitted (email) by Lindahl
- MUCH discussion, multiple concerns:
  - Original plan from KTU&A, with input from TMAD sub-committee, included landscaping & beautification - current plans really deviate / have no 'Talmadge Touch'; modifications (exclusions) were not submitted to TMAD Board in advance
  - Lacking traffic flow / pedestrian flow - Stop sign is set back too far; view minimized, Ramp placement(s) don't support foot traffic
  - Poor design of 'rock blanket'
  - Bulbout shape(s) very different
  - Concerns that the plan will have a detrimental neighborhood outcome; this project needs a positive outcome
- Overall desire is to sit down with City Works and coordinate with the water/storm project to achieve Talmadge enhancements (beautification), not eyesores

### **TMAD Sub-Committee Reports:**

**Roads and Walks (Flores):** No report out

**Capital Projects (Lindahl):** No report out

**Communications (McLeod):** No report out

**Beautification and Trees (Royal):** No report out

**ByLaws and Membership (Waggoner):** No report out

**Budget Forecast (Moty):** No report out

### **Adjournment:**

Motion by Smith – second by Swanson; approved unanimously @ 7:59PM